

Personnel 15

DD/S 66-6237

28 NOV 1966

MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Personnel
SUBJECT : Possibility of Pro-CIA Demonstrations

STAT

1. Mr. [] has advised me of the possibility of a pro-CIA demonstration rally at Brooklyn College when the recruiter visits there on 14 March 1966. I am concerned about this because it probably would result in more publicity, and, more importantly, such action might be attributed to the Agency by the press.

2. All recruiters should be advised at once to do or say nothing which might encourage pro-CIA demonstrations. Obviously, we do not want the word spread that we are attempting to exert influence, but in a quiet way, and when the occasion arises, the recruiters should let it be known that such demonstrations may hinder rather than help our recruitment activity.

3. If follow-up interviews with the seven applicants from Brooklyn College are conducted, they should be carried out with the above guidance in mind. Please look into this matter and advise me of the action taken to avert further publicity.

SIGNED R. L. Bannerman

R. L. Bannerman
Deputy Director
for Support

EO-DD/S:VRT/ms (28 Nov 66)

Distribution:

Orig & 1 - Adse

1 - DD/S Chrono

1 - DD/S Subject, w/Background (DD/S 66-6056) ✓

"MC" ECHOLS:

PLEASE CALL ME AFTER YOU
READ THIS STHG

DONE
11-29-66

UNCLASSIFIED

INTERNAL

Approved For Release 2003/05/27 : CIA-RDP84-00780R001400090015-4

SECRET

ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional)

FROM:

EXTENSION

NO.

Deputy Director for Support 7 D 26 HQS

DATE

21 NOV 1966

STAT

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

OFFICER'S INITIALS

RECEIVED

FORWARDED

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

1. Mr. [REDACTED]
1 F 08 HQS

DD S

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

In reference to the attached, I am concerned about the possibility of a pro-CIA demonstration at Brooklyn College. I think this could lead to difficulties and regardless of the sponsor of the demonstration it would be presumed by most all concerned that we instigated it. While this is mentioned as possibly occurring in March of next year, the idea may generate at most any campus where we are having anti-demonstrations. My reaction would be to discourage anything of this nature. Please let me know what you think so that we can take it up with the Executive Director and the Director.

STAT

[REDACTED]
R. L. Bannerman

STAT

Att: Ltr dtd 28 Oct 66,